

## Overview

- History of Cryptography (and Steganography)
- Modern Encryption and Decryption Principles
- Symmetric Key (Conventional) Cryptography
- Cipher Block Modes
- Key Management for Conventional Cryptography
- Message Authentication
- Public Key Cryptography
- Digital Signatures
- Key Management for Public-Key Cryptography



## Steganography

- Being able to communicate secretly has always been considered an advantage
- Secret messages were often not written down, but rather memorized by sworn messengers
- Or hidden
- Demaratus, a Greek immigrant to Persia, reveals Persia's intention to attack Athens. Write the secret message on a tablet, and covers it with wax.
- Histaiaeus encourages Aristagoras of Miletus to revolt against the Persian King. Writes message on shaved head of the messenger, and sends him after his hair grew
- Chinese wrote on silk, turned into wax-covered ball that was swallowed by the messenger
- Steganography
- Steganos $=$ "covered" in Greek, Graphein $=$ "to write"


## Steganography (cont.)

## - Invisible Ink

- Certain organic fluids are transparent when dried but the deposit can be charred and is then visible
- A mixture of alum and vinegar may be used to write on hardboiled eggs, so that can only be read once shell is broken
- Embedded information
- Germans used "microdots" - documents shrunk to the size of a dot, and embedded within innocent letters
- Secret messages within music (Beatles)


## Steganography (cont.)

- Steganography is also used to foil piracy in digital content
- Watermarking copyright information into images, music
- Programmers sometime embed "easter eggs"
- Steganography has been used by spies and children alike
- Most recently, US argued that Bin Laden implanted instructions within taped interviews
- Steganography is weaker than cryptography because the information is revealed once the message is intercepted
- However, steganography can be used in conjunction with cryptography


## Cryptography

- In Cryptography, the meaning of the message is hidden, not its existence
- Kryptos = "hidden" in Greek
- Historically, and also today, encryption involves
- transposition of letters
- Sparta's scytale is first cryptographic device ( $5^{\text {th }}$ Century BC)
- Message written on a leather strip, which is then unwound to scramble the message

- substitution
- Kama-Sutra suggests that women learn to encrypt their love messages by substituting pre-paired letters ( $4^{\text {th }}$ Century AD)
- Cipher - replace letters
- Code - replace words


## Historical Cryptographic Exemplars

- Julius Caesar liked encrypting messages
- Replaced Greek letters for Roman letters
- Caesar Shift Cipher
- Each letter substituted by shifting $n$ places
- E X A M P L E
- H A D P S OH
- Only 25 such ciphers
- Substitution based on key phrase

- Substitution key consists of phrase's letters (uniquely) followed by rest of the alphabet
- THIS IS ALICE AND BOB'S KEY
- THISALCENDBOKY-FGJMPQRUVWXZ
- 26 ! (roughly $10^{26}$ ) monoalphabetic substitution ciphers


## Historical Cryptographic Exemplars

- The Arabs broke monoalphabetic substitution using frequency analysis
- In English (Beker\&Piper)

| a | $8.2 \%$ | j | 0.2 | s | 6.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b | 1.5 | k | 0.8 | t | 9.1 |
| c | 2.8 | l | 4.0 | u | 2.8 |
| d | 4.3 | m | 2.4 | v | 1.0 |
| e | 12.7 | n | 6.7 | w | 2.4 |
| f | 2.2 | o | 7.5 | x | 0.2 |
| g | 2.0 | p | 1.9 | y | 2.0 |
| h | 6.1 | q | 0.1 | z | 0.1 |
| i | 7.0 | r | 6.0 |  |  |

- Thus, letters ciphering $e, t$, and a are easily discovered
- Subsequently can look for the rest of the letters and letter pairs


## Historical Cryptographic Exemplars

- Homophonic substitution cipher can be used to foil frequency analysis
- Keyed 2-digit substitution

A B C DEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXY/Z
T $\quad 06070809101112131415161718192021222324000102030405$ 43444546474849252627282930313233343536373839404142 71727374505152535455565758596061626364656667686970 $909192939495969798997576777879808182838485868788 \quad 89$

- Reverse frequency



## Historical Cryptographic Exemplars

- Vigenere's polyalphabetic cipher ( $16^{\text {th }}$ century) generalizes Caesar's shift cipher
- Can alternate between lines; or

Vigenere Square

- Use keyword
- The Vigenere cipher is not amenable to simple frequency analysis



## Historical Cryptographic Exemplars

- Coding
- Louis XIV's Great Cipher (Rossignols) used one symbol (3-digit number) per syllable (held 200 years)
- Mary Queen of Scots used a combination of cipher and coded words (nomenclator)
- e.g,

| assassinate | = 0 | general | $=\Sigma$ | immediatel | $=08$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| blackmail | = ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | = $\Omega$ | today | $=73$ |
| capture | $=1$ | minister | $=\psi$ | tonight | $=28$ |
| lect | $=1$ | prince | = $\theta$ | tomor | $=43$ |
| Plain message $=$ assassinate the king tonight Encoded message $=\mathrm{D}-\Omega-28$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

- US Army used Navajo language as code in WWII


## Transposition Ciphers

- Railfence: TRHCEEIETGSSMAIAEASS

- Redfence (by key): IETGIAESHCEESSMATRSS
- Columnar
- IEEIRSHSMESCSTATGSEA

| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| T | H | I | S | I | S |
| A | S | E | C | $R$ | E |
| T | M | E | S | S | A |
| G | E |  |  |  |  |



## Poles Crack the Enigma

- Polish cryptanalysts obtained information about the encryption procedure from commercial Enigmas
- Obtained information on its usage
- the Germans used a different orientation key for each message, encrypted twice in the message header (using the day key)
- Rejewski focused on the repetitions
- Formalized relationships between $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}, 2^{\text {nd }}-5^{\text {th }}$, and $3^{\text {rd }}-6^{\text {th }}$ letters
- ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
- FQHPLWOGBMVRXUYCZITNJEASDK
- Built chains
- (AFW), (BQZKVELRIB), (CHGOYDPC), (JMXSTNUJ)
- Chains depend only on scrambler orientation, not pair swaps
- Thus need to consider only $6 \times 26^{3}=105456$ configurations
- Built a catalog of characteristic chains for all configurations


## Poles Crack the Enigma

- Rejewski's algorithm to discover the day key
- First, use catalog to identify the scrambler setting and orientation
- Then, run the ciphertext through an Enigma and look at the text to identify swapped letter pairs
- Bombe machines were constructed to mechanize the search



## British Crack Improved Enigma

- In 1939, Germans increased Enigma security
- added 2 extra scramblers to choose - 10x arrangements
- increased to 10 letter pair swaps
- British Cryptanalysts (Bletchley Park) took from the Polish
- Recruited best Mathematicians (Turing) and large staff (7000)
- Received Bombes from Polish
- Used human weaknesses provided hints and cribs
- Trivial message keys (key sequences, names initials)
- Artificial "intelligent" restrictions on scramblers arrangements and pair swaps restricted the search space
- Standard message formats, e.g., weather
- Some German codebooks were captured
- Turing constructed swap-independent chains similar to Rejewski
- First British Bombe (Victory) delivered in 1940
- Search still required significant human help
- The British ULTRA - broken German, Italian and Japanese communications were crucial to winning the war


## Unbreakable Encryption

- One-time pads
- Sender and receiver use a pre-arranged random stream of letters
- Encryption=addition modulo 26
- Every letter in the key used once

- Perfectly secure encryption (Shannon)
- Used by Soviet spies, and also for US-Soviet hotline
- Requires significant logistical effort and coordination
- Relies on randomness of key


## Summary

- Encryption Algorithms and Keys
- Substitution : bits, letters, words
- Transposition
- Decryption Algorithms
- Reversed process
- Knowledge of the algorithm and the key
- Cryptanalysis
- Identify algorithm
- Obtain as many plaintext-ciphertext pairs
- Use systematicity (patterns)
- Use cribs



## Modern Encryption Principles

- Encryption scheme has 5 ingredients
- Plaintext, Encryption Algorithm, Key, Ciphertext, and Decryption Algorithm
- Security depends on secrecy of the key, not algorithm


Figure 2.1 Simplified Model of Conventional Encryption

## Notation

- M, or P will usually denote the plaintext message
- C will usually denote the ciphertext
- K will usually denote a key
- $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{M})=\mathrm{C}$ is the encryption function
- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{M}$ is the decryption function
- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{M})\right)=\mathrm{M}$ represents the typical flow


## Cryptographic Protocols

- Self enforcing protocols
- Arbitrated protocols
- Trusted third party helps in real time
- Adjudicated protocols
- Trusted third party, but only if needed and after the fact



## Attacks Against Cryptographic Protocol

- Passive attacks (eavesdropping)
- Cryptanalysis
- Traffic analysis
- Active attacks
- Impersonation
- Interruption / denial
- Modification of messages
- Fabrication of new messages
- Replay / Reflect messages


## Cryptographic Algorithms

- Type of operations applies to plaintext
- Substitution and transposition
- Type of key(s)
- Symmetric : same key
- Asymmetric, Public-Key : $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 1}(\mathrm{M})\right)=\mathrm{M}$
$\checkmark$ How plaintext is processed into ciphertext
- How many and which operations
- How the operations are combined
- Block ciphers, Stream ciphers


## Cryptanalysis (attacks against cryptographic algorithm)

- Ciphertext only
- Uses only knowledge of algorithm and ciphertext
- Known plaintext
- Also one or more plain-ciphertext pairs
- Or, probable words: dictionary, known formats, etc.
- Chosen text
- Chosen to reveal information about the key
- Chosen plaintext and its ciphertext
- Differential chosen plaintext
- Adaptive chosen plaintext
- Chosen ciphertext and its original plaintext
- Mostly against public-keys


## Computationally Secure Encryption

- Encryption scheme is computationally secure if
- The cost of breaking the cipher exceeds the value of the encrypted information; or
- The time required to break the cipher exceeds the useful lifetime of the information
- Most schemes that we will discuss are not unbreakable in principle, but are computationally secure
- Usually rely on very large key-space, impregnable to brute force
- Moreover, the most advanced schemes rely on lack of knowledge of effective algorithms for certain hard problems, not on a proven inexistence of such algorithms - Usually factorization, discrete logarithms, or square roots mod p


## Shannon's Theory of Secrecy

- Message entropy = minimum number of bits needed to express all possible messages
- English entropy is 1.3 bits per letter
- Cryptanalysts try to modify the a priori probabilities of alternative messages until one emerges

A cryptographic scheme is perfectly secure if knowledge of the ciphertext does not change the odds in favor of any of the possible plaintexts

- Shannon's Theory: the key must be at least as large as the message (entropy) and cannot be reused
- Therefore, the secrecy of a cryptographic scheme depends on its entropy, i.e. the number of key bits, or the size of the key space
- Only the one-time pad achieves perfect secrecy



## Protocol

- Typical protocol
- Alice and Bob agree on cryptosystem
- Alice and Bob agree on a key
- Alice encrypts her message with the key
- Alice sends the message to Bob
- Bob decrypts the messages using same key
- Variation
- Alice selects a new key for each message and encrypts it using the agreed key
- Alice sends the message key to Bob who decrypts it using the agreed key
- Thereafter, Alice uses the message key to encrypt the actual message


## Feistel Networks

- Most block encryption algorithms use this general structure, due to Horst Feistel (1973)
- Inputs: Plaintext (halved), Key, Round function F
- Uses $n$ rounds, in each
- Inputs: $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$-L_{i+1}=R_{i}$
$-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}} \oplus \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
- F is a function that selects certain bits, duplicates some, and permutes them. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is derived from K
- Final ciphertext is combination of $L_{n}$ and $R_{n}$
- At IBM, Feistel built Lucifer, the first such system



## Notes on Feistel Cipher Structure

- Process is reversible
$-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}-1}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}-1}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \oplus \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)$
- Same algorithm can be used but with keys reversed
- Security Considerations
- Larger block size means fewer blocks and greater security
- Larger key size means greater security
- More rounds considered to offer better security (?)
- Greater complexity of subkey generation may help security
- Greater complexity of round function may increase security


## Block Cipher Design Issues

- Easy to design a secure block cipher
- By increasing the complexity of F (e.g., more complex S-boxes)
- By iterating 1000 rounds
- Goals
- Fast - few rounds, use simple operations
- Low communication overheads
- Low battery consumption in hand-helds
- Easy to implement in hardware
- Simple, ubiquitous operations
- Efficient in memory usage
- Can run on a smart card
- Does not require too much secret material (keys, boxes)
- Sometimes put on expensive tamper-proof memory


## Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- Without a standard, software and hardware cannot interoperate, or at least it is very expensive
- In 1973, National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) issued RFP for Data Encryption Algorithm (DEA)
- provide high level of security
- completely specified and easy to understand
- the security must reside in the ky
- available to all users
- adaptable to diverse applications
- economically implementable in hardware
- efficient to use
- validated
- exportable


## Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- NIST (NBS) issued a Request For Proposal (RFC)
- IBM had only serious proposal
- Patented and based on Lucifer (Feistel et al)
- NIST issued a Request For Comments (RFC)
- Quite a few were concerned about NSA backdoor
- NSA reduced the key size from 112 to 56 bits
- Diffie and Helman presented a \$20MM 1-day DES cracking machine
- NSA had also changed the original S-boxes design
- There were some claims of linearity in the new design
- DES was adopted in 1977
- In 1987, under NSA pressure, DES almost not recertified
- Until 1994, only hardware implementations of DES were permitted


## Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- A Feistel block cipher structure
- 64-bit blocks
- 56-bit keys
- 16 rounds
- Adds initial and final permutation of the text (irrelevant to security)
- Key shifted circularly for next round, and 48 bits are selected for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$



## One Round of DES



## One Round of DES

- Key Transformation
- Each key-half is shifted 1 or 2 bits in each round (per given table)
- The 56 key bits are permuted and 48 bits are chosen (per table)
- Text transformations
- Expansion of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from 32 to 48 bits (size of key)
- Avalanche effect - some bits are duplicated
-48 bits are XORed with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- Substitution, using 8 S-Boxes with 6-bit input and 4-bit output
- S-boxes are well chosen to introduce non-linearity

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 14 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
| 1 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 13 |

- 32 bits are permuted according to specified P-Box
- 32 bits are XORed with $L_{i}$ to create $R_{i+1}$


## Data Encryption Standard (DES)

Software implementations are slow

- On IBM Mainframe 32,000 blocks / second
- Hardware implementations are very fast
- VLSI Technology 6868 ("Gatekeeper") DESes in 8 clock cycles
- DEC built GaAs gate array that DESes 16.8 million blocks / second

Weak keys

- All 0's, or all 1's in each half would result in same subkeys
- Note: if K'=complement of K , then $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime}\right)=$ complement of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{P})$
- There were also claims that the S-boxes were weakened by the NSA
- Notable DES Attacks
- In 1990, Eli Biham and Adi Shamir presented differential cryptanalysis
- A chosen-plaintext attack that uses two plaintexts with specific difference. Then, based on the difference in the ciphertext (and also internal rounds), one can update the a priori probability of keys
- In 1993, Mitsuru Matsui showed linear cryptanalysis attack
- Certain XORs of plaintext and ciphertext bits will result in a certain XOR of key bits with some probability $\mathrm{p} \neq 1 / 2$


## RC5

- Invented by Ron Rivest (Ron's Code 5), and developed by RSA Technology into a number of their products
- A block cipher that uses only XORs, Additions, and Rotations
- Variable length blocks, keys, and number of rounds
- A,B are two halves of text; Si are key-based
$-\mathrm{A}=((\mathrm{A} \oplus \mathrm{B}) \lll \mathrm{B})+\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{i}}$
- $\mathrm{B}=((\mathrm{A} \oplus \mathrm{B}) \lll \mathrm{A})+\mathrm{S}_{2 i+1}$
- With $16+$ rounds, it resists differential attack
- Uses low-cycles operations, and is very fast


## Other Block Ciphers

- Blowfish (Schneier)
- Simple: additions, XORs, and table lookups
- Table lookups may require large memory
- Variable key length
- CAST
- The round function differs from one round to next
- Int'l Data Encryption Alg (IDEA), Lai and Masey
- Plaintext, key, and ciphertext are divided to 4 parts
- Uses XORs, additions, and multiplications in 8 rounds
- 128-bit key, 52 16-bit subkeys (can be independent)
- Resists differential cryptanalysis
- Used in PGP


## Triple DES (3DES)

-3DES uses three 56-bit keys
$-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 3}(\mathrm{P})\right)\right)$
$-\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} 3}(\mathrm{P})\right)\right)$

- Note: if K1=K2 then 3DES=DES
- Double encryption doesn't work well
- Merkle-Hellman chosen plaintext men-in-the-middle attack requires only $2^{n+1}$ trials




Figure 2.6 Triple DEA

## Advanced Encryption Standard

 (AES)
## - NIST put out the RFP in 1997

- Five finalists:

|  | MARS | RC6 | Rijndael | Serpent | Twofish |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Security | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Implementation of Security | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Software Performance | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Smart Card Performance | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Hardware Performance | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Design Features | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |

- In October 2000, NIST recommended Rijndael


## Rijndael Block Cipher

- By Belgians Joan Daemen, and Vincent Rijmen
- Basic operations use bit-coefficient polynomials, in GF( $2^{8}$ )
- Does not use Feistel structure
- Instead uses 3 types of layers and a state
- Non-linear layer, using optimized S-boxes
- Linear mixing layer for diffusion of all bits throughout the rounds
- Key addition layer, using a simple XOR
- Each round
- Byte substitution (S-box from state matrix, with index (i,j) based on previous state)
- Row shift (to the matrix of states)
- Column mix (also to the matrix of states)
- Key XOR with the current state


# Cipher Block Modes of Operation 



## Cipher Block Modes of Operation

- Stream ciphers can be implemented from block cipher building blocks
- Requirements:
- Should be efficient, without significant overhead
- Shouldn't allow chosen plaintext attacks to interfere with the encryption
- Should be fault tolerant, not crashing in case of bit errors
- Note that the secrecy depends on the underlying cipher block algorithm


## Electronic Codebook (ECB) Mode

- Simplest form
- Each block (typically 64 bits) encrypted separately
- As if there is a codebook of $2^{64}$ entries (per key)
- Fast, easy to parallelize
- Relatively fault tolerant
- Easy target to known-plaintext attack
- cryptanalyst can rebuild the code book
- Also susceptible to stereotypical beginning and ending of messages and statistical attacks
- Also easy target to modification attack
- E.g., replacing the target-account block in a bank money wiring communication


## Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) Mode


(a) Encryption


Figure 2.7 Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) Mode

## Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) Mode

- Encryption
- Decryption
$-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \oplus \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)$
- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \oplus \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$
- $\mathrm{C}_{0}=\mathrm{IV}$
- Initialization vector modifies encryption of identical blocks
- Can be chosen by source and sent in the clear
- Or, encrypt random data in the first block
- Errors
- A bit of error in the plaintext will not extend the error
- A bit of error in the ciphertext will garble that block, and will alter same bit in the next block, but then CBC self-recovers completely
- Security
- A man-in-the-middle can easily append blocks in the end
- Can change a bit, knowing which bit will be affected in $2^{\text {nd }}$ block


## Cipher Feedback Mode (CFB)



C1


C2


Cn

- Errors
- A bit of error in plaintext affects all subsequent blocks but does not extend the error when decrypted
- A bit of error in ciphertext affects same bit and next block, after which CFB self synchronizes


## Output Feedback Mode (OFB)



- Output of Encryption serves as feedback


# Key Management for Conventional Cryptography 

## Key Generation

- Key space should be large enough
- Selection from key space shall be random
- Humans select poor keys prone to dictionary attack
- Some algorithms have weak keys that should be avoided (DES has 16 such weak keys)
- ANSI X9.17 Key Generation Algorithm
- Key is generated from previous key, through some encryption process that also takes into account a kept state information
- Seeds generated from low-order bits of time stamps, time between keystrokes of administrator, etc.


## Key Distribution Alternatives

- Physical Delivery
- Alice can select the key and deliver to Bob
- Charles, a trusted third-party, can select the key and deliver to both Alice and Bob
- Encrypted direct communication
- From Alice to Bob using an earlier encrypted session
- Encrypted communication with trusted third-party
- From Charles to both Alice and Bob


## Key Distribution (cont.)

- Encryption location
- Link encryption
- End-to-end encryption

- best for link encryption, e.g., routers that link two sub-networks
- hard for end-to-end, esp. ad-hoc / many-to-one communication
- Encrypted direct key-delivery communication
- Dangerous: an attacked that gets one key, gets them all
- Conclusion:
- Security of link communication should not be compromised, and shall use manual delivery of keying material (especially key-encryption keys)
- End-to-end communication can use key-delivery by third party (data keys)


## Session Key Distribution by KDC



- It is safer if KDC-host link encrypted using a physically delivered key
- KDC-host communication shall also be mutually authenticated


## Key Management Principles

- To reduce the risk of eavesdropping
- use different keys for different purposes
- generate new keys from old ones + hash function
- To reduce the risk of impersonation
- use mutual authentication when exchanging keys
- To reduce the risk of computer/physical break-in
- store most keys encrypted using master key
- save master keys in your memory, smart card, flash key, etc.
- use tamper-proof hardware encryption, much safer than software
- destroy media on which keys were stored, even if were encrypted
- Replace keys frequently
- Report compromised keys to KDC with timestamp
- Backup keys shall be broken and spread



## Message Authentication

- Goal: offer protection against active attacks
- Impersonation
- Modification of contents
- Replay
- Interruption and denial of service
- Requirements
- Message is authentic - has not been altered
- Message source is authentic
- Optional
- Message arrived in correct sequence
- Non-repudiation


## Message Authentication Approaches

- Conventional encryption
- After all, only the parties should have access to key
- Message authentication without encryption
- Authentication tag is attached to message to verify its integrity and the integrity of the source
- Message Authentication Code (MAC)
- MAC=F(Message,Key)


## Message Authentication Code



Figsare 3.1 Mewayse Authenticatien Lining Meswage Aathentication Code (MLAC)

## MAC Properties

- Message is authentic
- If the attacker modified the message, the MAC will likely not match the one calculated by the receiver
- Source is authentic
- No one else has the key to generate same MAC
- Hence, also non-repudiation
- Message is in sequence
- Should add timestamp or other nonce to the message before calculating the MAC
- Any encryption algorithm can be used to generate MAC
- NIST recommended last $n$ bits of DES-encryption of the message


## One-Way Hash Functions

- Note that for the purpose of authentication, MAC function need not be reversible
- A one-way hash function H, takes an input an arbitrary length message M , and produces a fixed-length hash value
- H must be easy to compute
- H is hard to reverse, i.e. given h , its hard to find M
- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})$ is hard to duplicate, i.e., it is possible that there exists $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ such that $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)$, but given M it hard to find such $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$
- For some applications, we may need collision resistance:
- It is hard to find arbitrary $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ such that $H(M)=H\left(M^{\prime}\right)$
- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})$ is a fingerprint of the message M and is called message digest (MD)


## Message Authentication Protocol Using a One-Way Hash Function

1. Using a symmetric secret / key

2. Using symmetric encryption

- Generate $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})$, which is small in size
- Use $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M}))$ as the MAC


## Construction of One-Way Hash Functions

- Hash functions are typically based on compression functions (f) that work on blocks (Mi)

- Works like a chained block cipher
- Produces a hash value for each fixed-size block based on its content and based on the hash value for the previous block
- In fact, can use symmetric encryption as $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{E}$, and use $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$ as the key


## Simple Hash Functions

- Bitwise-XOR

|  | mi | Sin: | - | . | Ban |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mes 1 | $b_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{21}$ |  |  | hat |
| Mask 2 | $\mathrm{b}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{21}$ |  |  | B4 |
|  | - | * |  | - | * |
|  | - | - |  | - | - |
|  | - | - |  | , | , |
| Eterk $=$ | $\mathrm{bm}_{6}$ | B |  |  | 1 |
| lank wis | $4_{4}$ | $c_{8}$ |  |  | $c^{\circ}$ |



- Not very secure, e.g., for English text (ASCII<128) the high-order bit is almost always zero
- Can be improved by rotating the hash code after each block is XORed into it
- Still, if the message itself is not encrypted, it is easy to modify the message and append one block that would set the hash code as needed


## Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA)

- SHA was published by NIST as a standard in 1993
- Revised in 1995 as SHA-1
- Input: Up to $2^{64}$ bits
- Output: 160 bit digest
- Pad with at least 64 bits to resist padding attack
- 1000...0<message length>
- Processes 512-bit block
- Initiate 5x32bit MD registers
- Apply compression function
- 4 rounds of 20 steps each
- each round uses different non-linear fi
- registers are shifted and switched



## SHA-1



## Other Famous MD Algorithms

|  | SHA-1 | MD5 <br> (MD4+ | RIPEMD- <br> 160 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Digest length | 160 bits | 128 bits | 160 bits |
| Basic unit of <br> processing | 512 bits | 512 bits | 512 bits |
| Number of steps | $80(4$ rounds <br> of 20) | $64(4$ <br> rounds of <br> $16)$ | 160 (5 paired <br> rounds of 16) |
| Maximum <br> message size | $2^{64}-1$ bits | unlimited | unlimited |

## Variable Length Hash Codes

- Some hash functions have good cryptographic qualities, but generate short hash codes
- If the message digest is short, the receiver can easily forge another message with same hash code
- Similarly, easy to find a (message,hashcode) pair that match
- Can use the following algorithm to enlarge hash code
- Start with $\mathrm{M} 0=\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{H} 0=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})$
- Generate M1 by appending H0 to M0, and generate $\mathrm{H} 1=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M} 1)$
- Append H1 to H0
- Repeat until generated enough hash codes


## Hash Function MAC (HMAC)

- HMAC Idea: Use a MAC derived from any cryptographic hash function
- Note that hash functions do not use a key, and therefore cannot serve directly as a MAC


## - Motivations for HMAC:

- Cryptographic hash functions execute faster in software than encryption algorithms such as DES
- No need for the reverseability of encryption
- No export restrictions from the US
- Status: designated as mandatory for IP security
- Also used in Transport Layer Security (TLS), which will replace SSL, and in SET


## HMAC Algorithm

- Compute H1= H of the concatenation of M and K1
- To prevent an "additional block" attack, compute again $\mathrm{H} 2=\mathrm{H}$ of the concatenation of H 1 and K 2
- K1 and K2 each use half the bits of K
- Notation:
- $\mathrm{K}^{+}=\mathrm{K}$ padded with 0 's
- ipad=00110110xb/8
- opad=01011100 xb/8
- Execution:
- Same as $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})$, plus 2 blocks




## Motivation

- Until early 70s, cryptography was mostly owned by government and military
- Symmetric cryptography not ideal for commercialization
- Enormous key distribution problem; most parties may have never physically met
- Must ensure authentication, to avoid impersonation, fabrication
- Few researchers (Diffie, Hellman, Merkle), in addition to the IBM group, started exploring Cryptography because they realized it is critical to the forthcoming digital world
- Privacy
- Effective commercial relations
- Payment
- Voting


## Public-Key Cryptography

- First proposed by Diffie and Helllan, and independently by Merkle (1976)
- Idea: use separate keys to encrypt and decrypt
- Merkle proposed puzzles, and then knapsack problems
- Pair of keys is generated by each user
- Public key is advertised
- Private key is kept secret, and is computationally infeasible to discover from the public key and ciphertexts
- Each key can decrypt messages encrypted using the other key
- Applications:
- Encryption
- Authentication (Digital Signature)
- Key Exchange (to establish Session Key)


## Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- First public-key algorithm, based on the difficulty of computing discrete logarithms modulo $n$
- Protocol:
- Use key exchange protocol to establish session key
- Use session key to encrypt actual communication
- Algorithm:
- Choose a large prime $n$, and a primitive root $g$


Compute $\mathrm{K}=\mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{x}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$

select y


Compute $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{XX}^{\mathrm{y}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$

## Public-Key Encryption

- Sender uses the public key of the receiver to encrypt
- Receiver uses her private key to decrypt



## Authentication Using Public-Key

- The sender encrypts the message with his own private key
- The receiver, by decrypting, verifies key possession



## Public-Key Algorithms:

## Requirements

- It is computationally easy to generate a pair of keys
- It is computationally easy to encrypt using the public key
- It is computationally easy to decrypt using the private key
- It is computationally infeasible to compute the private key from the public key
- It is computationally infeasible to recover the plaintext from the public key and ciphertext
- Either of the related keys can decrypt a message encrypted using the other key
- Note: it should be computationally infeasible to decrypt using same key used for encryption


## RSA

- Developed by Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman (1977), and is most widely used
- Classified version of RSA developed by GCHQ (Ellis and Cocks) in 1973
- Gets its security from the difficulty of factoring large numbers
- Works as a block cipher, where each plaintext/ciphertext block is integer between 0 and $n$
- Algorithm:
- Receiver chooses $e, d$
- The values of $e$, and $n$ are made public; $d$ is kept secret
- Encryption: $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{M}^{e} \bmod n$
- Decryption: $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{C}^{d} \bmod n=\mathrm{M}^{e d} \bmod n$
- Requisite:
- Find $e, d$ such that $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}^{e d} \bmod n$, for all $\mathrm{M}<n$
- Make sure that $d$ cannot be computed from $n$ and $e$, not even if a ciphertext is available


## RSA Key Generation

- Select primes $p$ and $q, n=p q$
- Calculate $\Phi(\mathrm{n})=(p-1)(q-1)$
- Euler totient of $n$ - number of integers between 1 and $n$ that are relatively prime to $n$, i.e., $\{m \mid \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1\}$
- Select integer $e<\Phi(n)$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(\Phi(n), e)=1$
- Calculate $d$ such that $d=e^{-1} \bmod \Phi(n)$,
- i.e. $e d=1 \bmod \Phi(n)$
- Note:
- The message could have been encrypted with $d$ and decrypted by $e$


## RSA Key Generation: Why it Works

- Fermat's Little Theorem
- For a prime $p, \forall a$ such that $0<a<\mathrm{p}, a^{(\mathrm{p}-1)}=1 \bmod p$
- Euler's extension
- For primes $p, q, \forall a$ such that $g c d(a, p q)=1, a^{(p-1)(q-1)}=1 \bmod p q$
- Hence, $\mathrm{M}^{\text {ed }} \bmod n=\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{q}-1)+1} \bmod \mathrm{n}=1 \mathrm{xM}=\mathrm{M}$
- To generate primes, use primality test
- For a non-prime, Fermat's theorem will usually fail on a random $a$
- Carmichael numbers are very rare exception, and if chosen decryption wont work. Can reduce the probability by checking more $a$ 's
- Primes are dense enough (almost one of every $\mathrm{k} k$-bit numbers)
- GCD to select $e$ takes $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ time
- Calculate $d=e^{-l} \bmod n$ using Euler extended GCD algorithm
- Exponentiation (Encrypt/Decrypt) takes O(log n) time
- RSA gets its security from the difficulty of factoring $n=p q$


## RSA Example

- Key Generation
- Select $p=7, q=17, n=p q=119, \Phi(119)=96$
- Select $e=5$; Calculate $d=77$


Figure 3.9 Example of RSA Algorithm

## Attacks on RSA Algorithm

- If one could factor $n$, which it available, into $p$ and $q$, then $d$ could be deduced, and then the message deciphered
- If one could guess the value of $(p-1)(q-1)$, even without factoring n , then again $d$ could be deduced


## Attacks on RSA Protocol

- Chosen ciphertext attack
- Attack: get sender to sign (decrypt) a chosen message
- Inputs: original ciphertext $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{e}}$
- Construct
- $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$, for a random R
- Y=XC mod $n$
- $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{R}^{-1} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
- Ask sender to sign Y , obtaining $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
- Compute
- TU $\bmod n=\mathrm{R}^{-1} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{R}^{-1} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{M}$
- Exploits preservation of multiplication under mod
- Conclusion:
- never sign a random message
- sign only hashes
- use different keys for encryption and signature


## Other precautions when implementing RSA protocol

- Do not use same $n$ for multiple users
- Can decipher using two encryption (public) keys, without any decryption key
- Always pad messages with random numbers, making sure that M is about same size as $n$
- If e is small, there is an attack that uses $e(e+1) / 2$ linearly dependent messages
- Do not choose low values for $e$ and $d$
- For e, see above, and there is also attack on small $d$ 's


## Other Public-Key Algorithms

- Merkle-Hellman Knapsack Algorithms
- First public-key cryptography algorithm (1976)
- Encode a message as as series of solutions to knapsack problems (NPHard). Easy (superincreasing) knapsack serves as private key, and a hard knapsack as a public key.
- Broken by Shamir and Zippel in 1980, showing a reconstruction of superincreasing knapsacks from the normal knapsacks
- Rabin
- Based on difficulty of finding square roots modulo $n$
- Encryption is faster: $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{M}^{2} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
- Decryption is a bit complicated and the plaintext has to be selected from 4 possibilities
El Gamal
- Based on difficulty of calculating discrete logarithms in a finite field
- Elliptic Curves can be used to implement El Gamal and DiffieHellman faster



## Public-Key Digital Signature

- The sender encrypts the message with his own private key
- The receiver, by decrypting, verifies key possession



## Digital Signatures

- The entire message, encrypted with the private key, serves as the digital signature
- Computationally expensive
- Anyone can decrypt the original message
- Alternatively, a digest can be used
- Should be short
- Prevent decryption of the original message
- Prevent modification of original message
- Difficult to fake signature for
- A hash code of the message (e.g., SHA-1)
- If only source authentication is needed, a different message can be used


## Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA)

- Proposed in 1991 by NIST as a standard (DSS)
- Based on difficulty of computing discrete logarithms (like Diffie-Hellman and El Gamal)
- Encountered resistance because RSA was already de-facto standard
- Cannot be used for encryption or key distribution
- Faster than RSA in signature, but slower in verification
- Significant investment in RSA by large corporations
- Concerns about NSA backdoor
- Key size was increased from 512 to up-to 1024 bits


## Description of DSA

- Public parameters
- p is a prime number with up to 1024 bits
- $q$ is a 160 -bit factor of ( $p-1$ ), and itself prime
$-\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{h}^{(\mathrm{p}-1) / \mathrm{q}} \bmod \mathrm{p}$
$-\quad \mathrm{x}$ is the private key and is smaller than q
$-\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ is the public key
- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M})$ is the secure hash code of the message
- Signature
- Generate a random $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{q}$
- Compute and send $r=\left(g^{k} \bmod p\right) \bmod q$
- Compute and send $s=k^{-1}(H(M)+x r) \bmod q$
- Verification
- Compute $w=s^{-1} \bmod q$
- Compute u1=H(M)w mod q; u2=rw mod q
- Compute $\mathrm{v}=\left(\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{u} 1 *} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{u} 2} \bmod \mathrm{p}\right) \bmod \mathrm{q}$
- If $v=r$ then the signature is verified


# Key Management for Public-Key Cryptography 



## More on Key Management

- Alice may have more than one key
- e.g., personal key and work key
- Where shall Alice store her keys
- Alice may not want to trust her work administrator with her personal banking key
- Distributed certification V1.0
- CA certifies Agents who certify companies who certify employees
- Distributed Certification V2.0 (a la PGP)
- Alice will present her certificate with "introducers" who will vow for her
- Key Escrow
- US American Escrowed Encryption Standard suggests that private keys be broken in half and kept by two Government agencies
- Clipper - for cellular phone encryption
- Capstone - for computer communication

