Gaps

ATTACGTACTCCATG ATTACGT---CATG

In an edit script we need 4 edit operations for the gap of length 4.

In maximal score alignments we treat the dash "-" like any other character, hence we charge the s(x,-) costs 4 times.

But

In terms of evolution this gap is probably the result of a single deletion or insertion of length 4. Biological observations: Gaps are usually longer then just one character However, long gaps are less frequent than short gaps

Thereforegaps should be considered as single units Gap costs should depend on the length of the gap, they should be monotonously growing, but not as fast as the legth itself. Gap costs should be subadditive:

g(n) gap cost of a gap of length n n=n1+n2

Subadditivity:

g(n) <= g(n1) + g(n2)

If not:

Gap is cheaper if it is considered as two successive gaps.

SCORING

Scorematrix for pairs of characters e.g. VT160 and Gapcosts g(n) e.g. g(n)=9+3n Score= vt(M,M)-g(1)+vt(L,A)-g(2)+vt(V,V) = 6 -12 -2 -15 +4 = -19

GENERAL GLOBAL ALIGNMENT PROBLEM

Given a score matrix and a subadditive gap cost function, calculate the global maximal score alignment.

There are	thi	cee	di	ffeı	rent	ways	the
alignment	of	S1	[1.	.i]	and	S2[1.	•j]
can end.							



```
The recurrence relation
for maximal score alignments
with general gap cost function g(n)
```

```
S(i,j)=\max{E(i,j),F(i,j),G(i,j)}
```

where

$$G(i,j)=S(i-1,j-1)+s(S1(i),S2(j))$$

$$E(i,j)=\max_{k <= j-1} \{V(i,k)-g(j-k)\}$$

$$F(i,j)=\max_{k <= i-1} \{V(k,j)-g(i-k)\}$$

Needleman Wunsch algorithm in a modification by Sankoff.

Initialisation

```
S(i,0)=-g(i)
S(0,j)=-g(j)
E(i,0)=-g(i)
E(0,i) undefined
F(0,j)=-g(j)
F(j,0) undefined
G(0,0)=0
G(i,0) and G(0,j) undefined
```

Time Complexity

			W	R	I	т	Е	R	S	🦰 G O(nm)
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	F O(nm ²
v	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
I	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	$E O(mn^2)$
N	3	*	*	*	?					
т	4	*								
N	5	*								
Е	6	*								$O(nm^2+mn^2)$
R	7	*								cubic

The number of colored spots depends on the length of the sequences.

Affine gap costs g(n)=a+bn

High costs for opening a gap but lower costs for extending it

There are two different types of alignments in the (E) case

ATGCTAT - ACGCAATT a	new	gap	starts
-------------------------	-----	-----	--------

ATGC----ACGCAATT a gap is extended ATGCTAT-ACGCAATT The optimal alignment up to positions i and j-1 is of type (G) E(i,j)=S(i,j-1)-a-bopen extend ATGC----ACGCAATT The optimal alignment up to positions i and j-1 is of type (E) E(i,j)=E(i,j-1)-bextend $E(i,j)=max{E(i,j-1),S(i,j-1)-a}-b$ Initialisation
S(i,0)=E(i,0)=-a-b*i
S(0,j)=F(0,j)=-a-b*j

Recurrence relation
S(i,j)=max{G(i,j),E(i,j),F(i,j)}

G(i,j)=S(i-1,j-1)+s(S1(i),S2(j))E(i,j)=max{E(i,j-1),S(i,j-1)-a}-b F(i,j)=max{F(i-1,j),S(i-1,j)-a}-b

Gotoh's algorithm

TIME COMPLEXITY

General gap costs: $E(i,j) = \max_{k <= j-1} \{S(i,k) - g(j-k)\}$ $F(i,j) = \max_{k <= i-1} \{S(k,j) - g(i-k)\}$ $O(n^3)$ $E(i,j) = \max\{E(i,j-1), S(i,j-1) - a\} - b$ $F(i,j) = \max\{F(i-1,j), S(i-1,j) - a\} - b$

 $O(n^2)$

LOCAL CONSERVATION

TAGCTAAA CTA ATCGCA GCGGGACA CTA CTACCT TCAAAACC CTA ACCAAA CCCGCTAC CCA TTCAGC TTCAGCAC CTC CCAGTC ACTTGCTT CTA ATTTT



Local Alignment



Idea: Just detect conserved regions in a global alignment.



The local alignment problem

Given two sequences S1 and S2, find segments al and a2 of S1 and S2, whose similarity (global alignment score) is maximal over all pairs of segments from S1 and S2.

We use H(S1,S2) to denote the optimal local alignment score of S1 and S2.

Idea: We take every pair of segments from S1 and S2, calculate the corresponding optimal global alignment and choose the best one. Lets say the length of the sequences are n and m. There are $O(n^2m^2)$ pairs of segments each global segment alignment takes O(nm) time. Hence this algorithm is $O(n^3m^3)$... slow

We need to go for a better dynamic programming approach.

```
Global Alignment:
D(i,j)=optimal global alignment
score of S1[1..i] and S2[1..j].
The optimal alignment is an
extension of one of three
shorter global alignments.
```

```
Local Alignment
H(i,j)=optimal local alignment
score of S1[1..i] and
S2[1..j] ?
```



H(i,j)=optimal local alignment score of S1[1..i] and S2[1..j] does not allow a dynamic programming approach.

BUT

What about: H(i,j)=maximal score of all local alignment, that contain S1(i) and S2(j) as there right most characters.

Optimal suffix alignment score of S1[1..i] and S2[1..j]



THE EMPTY ALIGNMENT

If none of the alignments that end with matching S1(i) and S2(j) has a non negative score, we say that the optimal local alignment is the empty alignment. No characters are aligned and the score is zero. ...assume linear gap costs

4 types of H(i,j)-alignments

(i)	$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \mathbf{ATCGC} \mathbf{T} \\ \bullet \mathbf{TTCCT} \mathbf{A} \end{array}$	H(i-1,j-1)+s(T,A)
(ii)	ATCGCT – .–TTCCT A	H(i,j-1)+g(1)
(iii)	ATCGC – T TTCCTA –	H(i-1,j)+g(1)
(iv)	Empty	0

T Note that A is a type (i) extension of the empty alignment SMITH WATERMAN ALGORITHM

Recurrence relation for local alignments

$$H(i,j) = \max \begin{bmatrix} H(i-1,j-1)+s(S1(i),S2(j)) \\ H(i,j-1)+s(-,S2(i)) \\ H(i-1,j)+s(S1(i),-) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

S(i,j) = optimal global alignment score of S1[1..i] and S2[1..j].

INITIALIZATION

			W	R	I	т	Е	R	S
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	1	0							
I	2	0							
N	3	0							
т	4	0							
N	5	0							
Е	6	0							
R	7	0							

Tabular calculation



The number of colored spots depends on the lengths of the sequences.

Traceback

				1	i i	1			
			W	R	I	т	Е	R	S
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
v	1	*	0	*	*	*	*	*	*
I	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
N	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
т	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
N	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Е	6	*	*	*	*	*	max	*	*
R	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

The number of colored spots depends on the length of the sequences.

			S	C	Α	Ρ	C	Α	\mathbf{L}
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Е	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C	З	0	0	12	4	0	12	4	0
Ρ	4	0	1	4	13	10	4	13	5
C	5	0	0	13	5	10	22	14	5
D	6	0	0	5	13	5	14	22	14

CAPC C-PC

CAPCA C-PCD



```
match =1
mismatch = -infinity
gap = -infinity
```

Optimal local alignment is the longest common word of the two sequences A Sequence S1:a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7 a8 a9 a10... any sequence $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{i_3} a_{i_4} a_{i_5} a_{i_6} a_{i_7} a_{i_8} \cdots$ with $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \cdots$ is a subsequence of S1. Example: S1 ATTGTTCCTACTGTA

TCCATT

S1 ATTGTTCCTACTGTA
S2 TCCGCAACCAATGGTCT
TCCATT is a common subsequence
of S1 and S2.

mismatch = -infinity
match = +1
gap = 0

Optimal local alignment is the longest common subsequence

q(i)=Probability for character ai in the background model (relative frequency of amino acid ai)

 $E_q[s] = \sum_{i,j} q(i)q(j)s(ai,aj)$

expected score per match position.

If the local alignment should be a small region in the alignment $E_q[s]$ must be negative.

Otherwise one would in average gain score by randomly matching characters. The alignment would be almost as long as the sequences.





Phase transition = ?

Probabilistic scores are ok for local alignment.

$$s(ai,aj) = \log \frac{M_{ij}}{q_{i}q_{j}}$$

$$E_{q}[s] = \sum q_{i}q_{j}\log \frac{M_{ij}}{q_{i}q_{j}}$$

$$<= \log \sum q_{i}q_{j} \frac{M_{ij}}{q_{i}q_{j}}$$

$$= \log(1)$$

$$= 0$$